

## WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

- 1 A method for the space-time filtering of in radiography comprising:
  - a. for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I(x,y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients  $Up(k,l)$  being thus obtained;
  - b. for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I'(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I'(x,y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients  $Up'(k,l)$  being thus obtained; and
  - c. the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$  is computed by the formula:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l).I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l).I'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N \dots\dots(1)$$

$$L = (D-1)/2 \dots\dots(2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] \dots\dots(3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l)) \dots\dots(4)$$

where  $F(x,y)$  is the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$ .

2. The method according to claim 1 wherein:
 
$$Up(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I(x+k, y+l)-I(x,y); \sigma(I(x,y))) \text{; and}$$

$$Up'(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I'(x+k, y+l)-I(x,y); \lambda.\sigma(I(x,y)))$$

with G as a weighting function depending on a difference between the value of the

pixel to be filtered and its neighborhood and depending on a noise statistic for the value of the pixel to be filtered.

3. The method according to claim 2 wherein  $G$  is a function of the difference  $\epsilon$  computed and of a known noise statistic  $\sigma$  for  $I(x,y)$ , the coefficient  $G$  being then written as the function  $G(\epsilon, \sigma)$ , where  $G$  is therefore the value in terms of  $\epsilon$  of a Gaussian curve centered on 0 and having a standard deviation  $\sigma$ .

4. The method according to claim 2 wherein  $G$  is a function of the computed difference  $\epsilon$  of the following type:

$$G(\epsilon) = -a \cdot \epsilon + 1, \text{ with } a > 0, \text{ et}$$

$$U_p(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I(x+k,y+l)-I(x,y)), \text{ and}$$

$$U'_p(k,l) = U(k,l)xG(I'(x+k,y+l)-I(x,y)).$$

5. The method according to claim 2 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.

6. The method according to claim 3 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.

7. The method according to claim 4 wherein  $\lambda$  is a real number.

8. The method according to claim 1 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k,l).I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * U'_p(k,l).F'(x+k,y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x,y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of the second image.

9. The method according to claim 2 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * U_p(k,l).I(x+k,y+l) + (1-\gamma) * U'_p(k,l).F'(x+k,y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x,y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of the second image.

10. The method according to claim 3 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k,l).I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x,y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of the second image.

11. The method according to claim 4 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k,l).I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x,y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of the second image.

12. The method according to claim 5 wherein equation (1) becomes:

$$F(x,y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k,l).I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k,l).F'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N$$

where  $F'(x,y)$  is the filtered intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of the second image.

13. The method according to claim 1 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

14. The method according to claim 2 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

15. The method according to claim 3 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

16. The method according to claim 4 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

17. The method according to claim 5 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

18. The method according to claim 8 wherein a value of  $\gamma$  equal to 0 implies a zero temporal dependence.

19. The method according to claim 1 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date  $t$ , and the second image having a date  $t-1$ .

20. The method according to claim 2 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date  $t$ , and the second image having a date  $t-1$ .

21. The method according to claim 3 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date  $t$ , and the second image having a date  $t-1$ .

22. The method according to claim 4 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date t, and the second image having a date t-1.

23. The method according to claim 5 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date t, and the second image having a date t-1.

24. The method according to claim 8 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date t, and the second image having a date t-1.

25. The method according to claim 13 wherein the first and second images are successive images of a sequence of images, the first image having a date t, and the second image having a date t-1.

26. The method according to claim 1 wherein D is equal to 5.

27. The method according to claim 2 wherein D is equal to 5.

28. The method according to claim 3 wherein D is equal to 5.

29. The method according to claim 4 wherein D is equal to 5.

30. The method according to claim 5 wherein D is equal to 5.

31. The method according to claim 8 wherein D is equal to 5.

32. The method according to claim 13 wherein D is equal to 5.

33. The method according to claim 19 wherein D is equal to 5.

34. The method according to claim 1 wherein D is greater than 5.
35. The method according to claim 2 wherein D is greater than 5.
36. The method according to claim 3 wherein D is greater than 5.
37. The method according to claim 4 wherein D is greater than 5.
38. The method according to claim 5 wherein D is greater than 5.
39. The method according to claim 5 wherein D is greater than 5.
40. The method according to claim 8 wherein D is greater than 5.
41. The method according to claim 19 wherein D is greater than 5.
42. The method according to claim 26 wherein D is greater than 5.
43. The method according to claim 1 wherein D is an odd number.
44. The method according to claim 2 wherein D is an odd number.
45. The method according to claim 3 wherein D is an odd number.
46. The method according to claim 4 wherein D is an odd number.
47. The method according to claim 5 wherein D is an odd number.
48. The method according to claim 8 wherein D is an odd number.

49. The method according to claim 13 wherein D is an odd number.
50. The method according to claim 19 wherein D is an odd number.
51. The method according to claim 26 wherein D is an odd number.
52. The method according to claim 34 wherein D is an odd number.
53. A space-time convolution filter designed according to the method of claim 1.
54. A scanner for radiography having a filter according to claim 53.
55. A computer program comprising computer program code means, the computer readable program code means for causing a computer to provide:
  - a. for each pixel having coordinates (x,y) of a first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I(x,y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients  $U_p(k,l)$  being thus obtained;
  - b. for each pixel with coordinates (x,y) of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I'(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I'(x,y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates (x,y) of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients  $U'_p(k,l)$  being thus obtained; and
  - c. the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$  is computed by the formula:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l)I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l)I'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N \dots\dots(1)$$

$$L = (D-1)/2 \dots\dots(2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] \dots\dots(3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l)) \dots\dots(4)$$

where  $F(x,y)$  is the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$ .

56. A computer program product comprising a computer useable medium having computer readable program code means embodied in the medium, the computer program product comprising:

a. computer readable program code means embodied in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel having coordinates  $(x,y)$  of a first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of a first convolution core with a dimension D, equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I(x,y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of the first image, and k and l are indices used to explore the coefficients of the convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients  $Up(k,l)$  being thus obtained;

b. computer readable program code means embodied in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k,l)$  of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient G which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x,y)$  and  $I'(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I'(x,y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x,y)$  of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients  $Up'(k,l)$  being thus obtained; and

c. computer readable program code means embodied in the medium for causing a computer to provide the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$  is computed by the formula:

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l) I'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N \dots\dots(1)$$

$$L = (D-1)/2 \dots\dots(2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] \dots\dots(3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l)) \dots\dots(4)$$

where  $F(x, y)$  is the filtered value of  $I(x, y)$ .

57. An article of manufacture for use with a computer system, the article of manufacture comprising a computer readable medium having computer readable program code means embodied in the medium, the program code means comprising:

a. computer readable program code means embodied in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel having coordinates  $(x, y)$  of a first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k, l)$  of a first convolution core with a dimension  $D$ , equivalent to a low-pass filter, as a function of a coefficient  $G$  which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x, y)$  and  $I(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I(x, y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the first image, and  $k$  and  $l$  are indices used to explore the coefficients of the convolution core, a second convolution core with coefficients  $Up(k, l)$  being thus obtained;

b. computer readable program code means embodied in the medium for causing a computer to provide for each pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of the first image, a weighting is performed on the coefficients  $U(k, l)$  of the first convolution core as a function of the coefficient  $G$  which is a function of the difference computed between  $I(x, y)$  and  $I'(x+k, y+l)$ , where  $I'(x, y)$  is the intensity of the pixel with coordinates  $(x, y)$  of a second image, a third convolution core with coefficients  $Up'(k, l)$  being thus obtained; and

c. computer readable program code means embodied in the medium for causing a computer to provide the filtered value of  $I(x, y)$  is computed by the formula:

14XZ126398

$$F(x, y) = \left( \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) I(x+k, y+l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l) I'(x+k, y+l)) \right) / N \dots\dots(1)$$

$$L = (D-1)/2 \dots\dots(2)$$

$$\gamma \in [0,1] \dots\dots(3)$$

$$N = \sum_{k=-L}^L \sum_{l=-L}^L (\gamma * Up(k, l) + (1-\gamma) * Up'(k, l)) \dots\dots(4)$$

where  $F(x,y)$  is the filtered value of  $I(x,y)$ .